## HUNSDON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN 2019-2033 EXAMINATION EXAMINER'S NOTE 1

- 1. I have now considered all the examination documents supplied to me.
- I have a limited number of queries, which I set out below. This Note should be placed on the relevant website(s). I would like to have initial responses from the District Council and Parish Council, before deciding whether a wider exploratory meeting available to all regulation 16 representors—is necessary.
- 3. At this stage, I do not anticipate that the statutory requirements for the holding of a hearing are likely to be met.
- 4. My queries are:
  - a. I am uncertain as to the intended scope of the Cherished Views on the Policies Map, Policy HE3 and Appendix D. They appear to have been interpreted by Representation HNP-005 (Taylor Wimpey) as having relevance to the development of the Gilston area/ Village 7 etc. See page 3 of their representation. Policy HE3 could, of course, only constrain development in the neighbourhood plan area. I would be grateful for comments.
  - b. Traffic Impact—In paragraph 9.12, 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence: what proposals are there, or could there be, in the neighbourhood plan area? How could the plan have any influence over roads proposed by the highway authority, or any roads proposed outside the NP area? It would seem necessary to delete this sentence.
  - c. Also on Policy HT1—paragraph 1 refers to major or new development: which is intended? In any event, as representations suggest, the matters referred to here are quite outside the scope of a TIA (see definition in NPPF). Any comments please.
  - Appendix A—is Briggens Park a registered park? Is there any registered park in the NP area? Should not the non-designated heritage assets listed in Policy HHC 2 be listed in Appendix A?

Christopher Lockhart-Mummery QC

Examiner

9 May 2022